

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/564,784	05/22/2006	Roger Le Comte	Roger Le Comte 284302US6PCT		
22850 7590 05/11/2010 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.			EXAMINER		
1940 DUKE S7	TREET	5/22/2006 Roger Le Comte  05/11/2010 CCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.	HANDY, DWAYNE K		
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1797		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/11/2010	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

<u> </u>		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/564,784	COMTE, ROGER LE
		Examiner	Art Unit
		DWAYNE K. HANDY	1797
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ware to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDON	DN. timely filed m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 Jac</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, p	
Disposit	ion of Claims	•	
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 19-35 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 19-35 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	
Applicat	ion Papers		
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ion is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorical application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been received in Proceive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage
Attachmen	, ,		
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [ 5)  Notice of Informal 6)  Other:	Date

Application/Control Number: 10/564,784 Page 2

Art Unit: 1797

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 19-24 and 28-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fischer et al. (5,646,046). This rejection was applied in the previous Office Action (mailed 10/01/09). It remains in effect. Please see Response to Arguments below.
  - 3. Claims 19-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Burns et al. (US 2003/0054542). This rejection was applied in the previous Office Action (mailed 10/01/09). It remains in effect. Please see Response to Arguments below.

# Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 01/04/10 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant has argued that the instrument of Fischer is not a quality control device (Page 8 of Arguments):

Application/Control Number: 10/564,784 Page 3

Art Unit: 1797

"Fischer concerns a method and instrument for automatically performing analysis relating to thrombosis and hemostasis by spectrophotometry. The instrument of Fischer is **not a quality control device for control bloods**. Instead, the instrument of Fischer conducts different assays to measure hemostasis or thrombosis parameters of samples in test wells using particular reagents. Any disclosure of means for refrigeration, means for heating, means for stirring, and means for sampling disclosed in Fischer **is limited to samples and/or reagents, not control bloods**. The only disclosure related to quality control in Fischer is related to monitoring the performance of the method and evaluating the validity of the reported data for the sample. Such disclosure is not relevant to the instantly claimed device. Finally, being directed to a different kind of testing, the instrument of Fischer naturally does not comprise means for sampling bloods or re-suspending of the cells."

The Examiner notes that the highlighted passages are intended use arguments. The Examiner reminds Applicant that the claims are drawn to a device, not a method. A recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. Applicant has also argued that Fischer does not comprise means for sampling bloods or resuspending of the cells. The Examiner respectfully disagrees and notes that Applicant's sampling means as disclosed in the Specification is simply a needle. This is the same device taught by Fischer. See column 9 of Fischer and Paragraph 3 of the Previous Office Action.

5. Applicant has also argued that the device of Burns is not a quality control device (Pages 8-9 of Arguments):

Application/Control Number: 10/564,784

Art Unit: 1797

"Again though, the device in Burns does not teach the presently claimed quality control device for control bloods incorporated into a blood analyzer. The Burns device is designed for providing specimens to reaction receptacles within an automated analyzer to conduct nucleic acid-based assays. The device works on serum and not on whole blood. The means disclosed therein are for samples and/or reagents and not control bloods. Accordingly, the Burns device teaches nothing about control bloods. Moreover, no means for re-suspension of the cells is disclosed by Burns. Further, there is no means for storing control bloods inside the analyzer."

The Examiner again notes that the highlighted passages are intended use arguments. The Examiner reminds Applicant that the claims are drawn to a device, not a method. A recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. Applicant has also argued that Burns does not comprise means for storing bloods or means for resuspending the cells. The Examiner respectfully disagrees and notes that Applicant's resuspension means as disclosed in the Specification is a vortex stirrer, rocker or inverter (Paragraph 0065). Burns teaches an orbital shaker in Paragraph 0326. The Examiner submits this is a vortex stirrer. Applicant has argued that Burns does not teach means for storing blood by refrigeration. The Examiner respectfully disagrees and submits Burns recites multiple means for storage including the cooling bay (900) and a Peltier device (Paragraphs 0241-0258).

### Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DWAYNE K. HANDY whose telephone number is (571)272-1259. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 11:00-7:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on (571)-272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/564,784

Art Unit: 1797

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Page 6

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Dwayne K Handy/

Examiner, Art Unit 1797

/Jill Warden/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1797

April 24, 2010